JAN 1.0 2011

PATENT AND TREDEMARK OFFICE IN THE UNITED STATES

Attorney Cocket No.: Google-41 (GP-099-00-US)

Appl. No.: 10/750,451

Confirmation No.: 4989

Appellant/Applicants: Ross KOKINGSTKIN, et al

Filed: December 31, 2003

Title: SUGGESTING AND/OR PROVIDING TARGETING CRITERIA FOR ADVERTISEMENTS

Examiner: Michael Bekerman

TC/A.U.: 3622

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 P.O. Box 1450

APPEAL BRIEF

requests that the Board reverse all outstanding grounds months to expire on January 9, 2011, the appellant November 9, 2010, that period being extended two (2) of rejection in view of the following. 2010, which sat a period for response to expire on Further to the Notice of Appeal filed on September

PAGE 6/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

10750451 01/11/2011 HMRRZI1 02 FC:1402 540.00 DA

XI. Conclusion	 Related proceedings Appendix 	IX. Byidence Appendix	VIII. Claims Appendix	VII. Argument	VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	V. Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter	IV. Status of Amendments	III. Status of Claims	II. Related Appeals and Interference	I. Real Party In Interest	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	50	49	4	17	15	J	5		•	Ļ	Page

PAGE 7/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Real Party In Intere

The real party in interest is Google, Inc. An assignment of the above-referenced patent application from the inventors to Google, Inc. was recorded in the Patent Office starting at France 0484 of Reel 018197.

PAGE 8/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Related Appeals and Interference

An Appeal Brief was filed by the appellant with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 25, 2007 in connection with the present application. After the Appeal Brief was filed, the Examiner cited a new ground of rejection in the Examiner's Answer dated December 9, 2008. In response to the new ground of rejection, the appellant elected to recpen prosecution of the present application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.39(b)(1).

PAGE 9/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

-5-

III. Status of Claims

36-88 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 5-15, 18-39, 42, 43, 46-56, 59-84 and

have been canceled. Claims 3, 4, 16, 17, 40, 41, 44, 45, \$1 -1 58 anc.

publication"). publication in view of U.S. Patent Application U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Paire of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0052899 as being unparentable over the Faine publication in view 31, 46, 59 and 72 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Publication No. 2001/CC52000 ("the Siscalore 36, 39, 53, 54, 66, 67, 79 and 80 stand rejected under 35 unpatentable over the Paine publication. Claims 5, 18, and 78 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being Clairs 2, 11, 15, 24, 28, 29, 37, 43, 52, 56, 65, 69, 70 Publication No. 2003/0055816 ("the Paine publication"). 85-88 are rejected. Specifically, claims 1, 6-10, 14, ("the Bourdoncle publication"). Claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application 73-77, 81-84 and 86-83 stand rejected under 35 0.8.C. § 19-23, 27, 30, 32-36, 42, 47-51, 55, 60-64, 68, 71, Claims 1, 2, 5-15, 18-39, 42, 43, 46-56, 59-84, and

18-39, 42, €3, 46-56, 59-84, and 86-88 are appealed The foregoing rejections of claims 1, 2,

PAGE 10/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

20100527).

final Office Action dated June 9, 2010 (Paper Mo. There have been no amendments subsequent to the

PAGE 11/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter

٩.

Independent clair 1 claims a computer-implemented method for determining one or more ad targeting keywords, the conguter-implemented method computer system including a plurality of networked computers, a category (This is supported, for example, by elements 450 and 460 of Figure 4, 610 of Figure 6, and the description on page 19, lines 15 and 16, page 21, line 23, and page 24, lines 3-19.), (b) looking up, with the computer system, one or more keywords using the accepted category and a previously stored association of a plurality of categories and keywords (This is supported, for example, by elements 460, 350, and 455 of Figure 4, 620 of Figure 5, and the description on page 19, lines 15-19 and page 21, lines 24 and 25.),

(c) storing, with the computer system, at least some of the one or more keywords as one or more ad targeting keywords of an advertisement (this is supported, for example, by 650 of Figure 6 and the description on page 19, lines 19-21 and page 21, line 30 through page 22, line 1.), and (d) controlling, with the computer system, a serving of the advertisement using the stored one or more ad targeting keywords, wherein when the advertisement is served with the computer system, presentation of the advertisement to a user is induced (This is supported, for example, by the description on page 13, line 19 through page 15, line 13.).

PAGE 12/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Independent claim 14 claims a computer-implemented method for determining one or more ad targeting keywords, the computer-implemented method comprising:

- (a) accepting, with a computer system including a plurality of networked computers, a category (This is supported, for example, by elements 450 and 460 of Figure 4, 510 of Figure 5, and the description on page 19, lines 15 and 16, page 21, line 23, and page 24, lines 3-19.),
- (b) looking up, with the computer system, one or more keywords using the accepted category and a previously stored association of a plurality of categories and keywords (This is supported, for example, by elements 460, 350, and 465 of Figure 4, 620 of Figure 6, and the description on page 19, lines 15-19 and page 21, lines 24 and 25.), (c) transmitting, with the computer system, the one or more keywords as suggested targeting keywords to induce presentation of the one or more keywords to an advertiser (This is supported, for example, by 650 of Figure 6 and the description on page 19, lines 19-21 and page 21, line 30 through page 22, line 1.)
- line 1.),

 (d) receiving, with the computer system, advertiser input in response to the suggested targeting keywords (This is supported, for example, by 740 of Figure 7 and the description on page 22, lines 15-18.), and

 (e) determining whether or not to store at least some of the one or more keywords as targeting keywords for an advertisement of the advertiser using the received advertiser input (This is

PAGE 13/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

-8-

of the advertisement using the stored one or more ad

(e) controlling, with the computer system, a serving

line 30 through page 22, line 1.), and

description on page 19, lines 19-2; and page 21, supported, for example, by 650 of Figure 6 and the targeting keywords of an advertisement (This is of the one or more keywords as one or more ad (d) storing, with the computer system, at least some

is served with the computer system, presentation of

targeting keywords, wherein when the advertisement

description at page 22, lines 21-23.). supported, for example, by 750 of Figure 7 and the

targeting an ad, the computer-implemented method method for generating one or more serving constraints for comprising: Independent claim 27 claims a computer-implemented

of Figure 4, and the description on page 18, line 20 categories and keywords (This is supported, for plurality of networked computers, ad information, and 25 and page 26, lines 16-20.;, 620 of Figure 6, and the description on page 14, example, by elements 460, 350, and 465 of Figure 4, previously stored association of a plurality of more keywords using the accepted category and a two acts are supported, for example, by 410 and 420 category using the accepted ad information (These lines 3-14, page 19, lines 15-19, page 21, lines 24 (c) looking up, with the computer system, one or through page 19, line 13, and page 24, lines 3-19.), (b) determining, with the computer system, a (a) accepting, with a computer system including a

PAGE 14/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

application.):

STRAUB & POKOTYLO Jan.10. 2011 6:55PM

> supported, for example, by the description on page the advertisement to a user is induced (This is 13, line 19 through page 15, line 13.).

determining one or more ad targeting keywords, the apparatus comprising: Independent claim 42 claims an apparatus

- 700 of Figure 7, page 11, Lines 9-19, page 22, lines a) an input for accepting a category (This is 3-24, and page 24, lines 5, 6, and 27-29 of the present application.) Figure 2, elements 930 and 932 of Figure 9, element supported, for example, by elements 215 and 216 of
- of networked processors, performs a method including and page 24, lines 3-20 of the present supported, for example, by element 910 of Figure 9 Figure 9 and page 24, lines 3-26 of the present instructions which, wher executed by the plurality (This is supported, for example, by element 920 of at least one storage device storing executable
- a plurality of networked processors (This is association of a plurality of categories and accepted category and a praviously stored (1) looking up one or more keywords using the

PAGE 15/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

one or more ad targeting keywords of an (2) storing at least some of the keywords 15-19 and page 21, lines 24 and 25.1,

Figure 6, and the description on page 19, lines elements 460, 350, and 465 of Figure 4, 620 of keywords (This is supported, for example, by application.;;

Jan.10. 2011 STRAUB & POKOTYLO 6:55PM

of networked processors, performs a method including

(This is supported, for example, by element 920 of

instructions which, when executed by the plurality at least one storage device storing executable

Figure 9 and page 24, lines 3-26 of the present

application.):

page 22, line 1.], and by 650 of Figure 6 and the description on page 19, Lines 19-21 and page 21, line 30 through advertisement (This is supported, for example,

through page 15, line 13.). example, by the description on page 13, line 19 user is induced [This is supported, for served, presentation of the advertisement to a keywords, wherein when the advertisement is using the stored one or more ad targeting (3) commocling a serving of the advertisement

determining one or more ad targeting keywords, the appearatus comprising: Independent claim 55 claims an apparatus for

present application.) 8-24, and page 24, lines 5, 6, and 27-29 of the supported, for example, by elements 215 and 216 of a) en input for accepting a category (This is and page 24, lines 3-20 of the present supported, for example, by element 910 of Figure 9 700 of Figure 7, page 11, lines 5-19, page 22, lines Figure 2, elements 930 and 932 of Figure 9, element a plurality of networked processors. (This is

PAGE 16/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

association of a plurality of categories and 15-19 and page 21, Lines 24 and 25.1, Figure 6, and the description on page 19, lines elements 460, 350, and 465 of Figure 4, 620 of keywords (This is supported, for example, by accepted category and a previously stored (1) locking up one or more keywords using the

22, line 1.;, lines 19-21 and page 21, iine 30 through page 650 of Figure 5 and the description on page 19 advertiser (This is supported, for example, by presentation of the one or more keywords to an suggested targeting keywords to induce (2) transmitting the one or more keywords as

Figure 7 and the description at page 22, lines advertiser using the received advertiser input targeting keywords for an advertisement of the (4) determining whether or not to store the description on page 22, lines 15-18.), and supported, for example, by 740 of Figure 7 and the suggested targeting keywords (This is (3) receiving advertiser input in response (This is supported, for example, by 750 of least some of the one or more keywords as

generating one or more keywords as candidates for use as ad targeting keywords, the apparatus comprising: Figure 2, elementa 930 and 932 of Figure 9, element supported, for example, by elements 215 and 216 of Independent claim 68 claims an apparatus for ar input for accepting ad information (This is

PAGE 17/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSiD:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

26, lines 16-20.),

(3) storing, with the computer system, at least

description on page 14, lines 3-14, page 19, lines 15-19, page 21, lines 24 and 25 and page

and 465 of Figure 4, 620 of Figure 6, and the

supported, for example, by elements 460, 350,

plurality of categories and keywords (This is

700 of Figure 7, page 11, lines 9-19, page 22, lines 8-24, and page 24, lines 5, 6, and 27-29 of the present application.)

b) a plurality of networked processors (This is supported, for example, by element 910 of Figure 9 and page 24, lines 3-20 of the present application.);
c) at least one storage device storing executable instructions which, when executed by the piurality of networked processors, performs a method including (This is supported, for example, by element 320 of Figure 9 and page 24, lines 3-26 of the present

(1) determining a category using the accepted ad information (This is supported, for example, by 410 and 420 of Figure 4, and the description on page 18, line 20 through page 19, line 13, and page 24, lines 3-15.).

(2) looking up, with the computer system, one or more keywords using the accepted category and a previously stored association of a

application.):

PAGE 18/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

some of the one or more keywords as one or more ad targeting keywords of an advertisement (This is supported, for example, by 650 of Figure 6

and the description on page 19, lines 19-21 and

Û

receiving, with the computer eystem, advertiser

through page 15, line 13.). page 21, line 30 through page 22, line 1.), and example, by the description on page 13, line 19 user is induced (This is supported, for system, presentation of the advertisement to a the advertisement is served with the computer one or more ad targeting keywords, wherein when serving of the advertisement using the stored (4) controlling, with the computer system, a

nethod comprising: Endement claim 84 claims a computer-implemented

at least one of the one or more categories 3-19.), (c) transmitting, with the computer system and 420 of Figure 4, and the description on page 18, plurality of networked computers, ad information, (a) accepting, with a computer system including a one of the one or more categories to an advertiser, determined to induce presentation of the at least line 20 through page 19, line 13, and page 24, lines more categories using the accepted ad information (These two acts are supported, for example, by 410 (b) determining, with the computer system, one or

PAGE 19/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

the one or more categories is specifically

description on page 13, line 27 through page 19,

example, by element 120 of Figure 7, and the categories (These two acts are supported, for feedback with respect to the presented one or more

line 1, and page 22, lines [1-15.], wherein each of

structure stored on the computer system (This is supported, for example, by elements 350, including 352 and 354, of Figure 3 and the description on page 15, lines 17-19.).

associated with one or more keywords in a data

PAGE 20/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

-1.5-

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Beviewed on Appeal

The issues presented for review are whether:

(1) (separately patentable and argued groups of) claims 1, 6-10, 14, 19-23, 27, 30, 32-39, 42, 47-51, 55, 60-64, 68, 71, 73-77, 81-34 and 86-88 are anticipated by the Paine publication;

(2) (separately patentable and argued groups of) claims 2, 11, 15, 24, 28, 29, 37, 43, 52, 56, 65, 69, 70 and 78 are unpatentable over the Paire publication:

(3) claims 5, 18, 31, 46, 59, and 72 are unpatentable over the Paine publication in view of the Bourdoncle

(4) claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 39, 39, 53, 54, 66, 67, 79 and 80 are unpatentable over the Paine publication in view of the Glacalone publication. publication; and

PAGE 21/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

The Paine Publication

The Paine publication makes search term

CENTRAL FAX CENTER JAN 1:0 2011

II. Argument

The appellant respectfully requests that the Board reverse the final rejections of claims 1, 2, 5-15, 18-39 42, 43, 46-56, 59-84 and 86-83 in wiew of the following.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 6-10, 14, 19-23, 32-35, 47-51, 55, 60-64, 68, 71, 73-77, 81-84 and 86-88 stand rejected under 35 C.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by the Paine publication. The appellant respectfully requests that the Board withdraw this ground of rejection in view of the following.

Various patentable features of the claimed invention

Warious patentable features of the claimed invention will be described below with respect to separate groups of claims. The Paice publication provides a tool for finding good search terms for an advertiser's Website while removing bad ones (See, e.g., paragraph [0012].). Although embodiments consistent with the claimed invention concern determining one or more keywords (or some other serving constraints which may be used for ad targeting), there are significant, and patentable, differences between how the Paine publication and the claimed invention determine keywords or search terms.

PAGE 22/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

recommendations by (1) locking for good search terms directly on an advertiser's Website (referred to as "spidering"), and/or (11) comparing an advertiser to other, similar, advertisers and recommending the search

terms those other advertisers have chosen (referred to as to Figures 10-20 of the Paine publication.

collaborative filtering step. (See, e.g., paragraph the output of the spicering step is used as imput to the "collaborative filtering"). In at least one embodiment, [COL3].) These techniques are discussed with reference

Spidering in the Paine Publication

stopping criterion. (See, e.g., paragraph [3096].) predetermined number of pages, or reaches some other the program reaches a predefined link depth, downloads a pages, which are similarly downloaded and scanned until Specifically, a home page of the Website specified by the Website rooted at a uniform resource locator (URL). URL is downloaded and scanned for byperlinks to other Spidering is a known technology for downloading a

pages. (See, e.g., paragraph [0097].) number of times they have occurred in the downloaded then be sorted by either the score quality or by the how common a search term is on the World Wide Web, and The search terms may be scored using two factors -- (1) (ii) how often users search for it. Search terms may be determined from this spidering. The search terms may

not "categories". simply uses terms found in the advertiser's Website, but determining search term recommendations from spidering As can be appreciated from the foregoing

Collaborative Filtering in the Paine Publication

the Paine publication, collaborative filtering is used to recommendations based on user similarity. Generally, collaborative filtering is used to make In the case of

PAGE 23/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

make recommendations based on advertiser similarity in terms of search terms that they have used for their ads. More specifically, the Paine publication computes the Fearson correlation between a new advertiser and all of the existing advertisers using a numeric rating (e.g., 0 to 3) assigned to each entry in an advertiser/term table. As existing advertiser might get a rating of 5 for every term that it has bid on and a rating of JMENONN for every other term. The new advertiser (to which recommendations are to be made) might get a rating of 5 for terms it has accepted, a 1 for terms that it has rejected, and a 2 for every other term. (See, e.g., paragraph 191021.)

Once the collaborative filter has computed the correlation between the new advertiser and the existing advertisers (that is, how similar the new advertiser is to various existing advertisers), the collaborative filter predicts how likely it is that each term is a good search term for the new advertiser. (See, e.g., paragraph [0104].)

As can be appreciated from the foregoing, determining search term recommendations from search terms used by other advertisers using collaborative filtering does not use "categories."

Combining Spidering and Collaborative Filtering in the Paine Publication

Spidering and collaborative filtering may be used in combination. For example, spidering may provide recommended search terms which a rew advertiser may accept or reject. Given such an initial list of accepted and rejected search terms (which may have ratings based on whether or not the terms were accepted or rejected),

PAGE 24/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

<u>ا</u> ۲

e.g., paragraphs [0107]-[0112] and Figure 10. rejected search terms until the user is satisfied. repeatedly based on the latest list of accepted or the new advertiser. list of search terms which may be accepted or rejected by collaborative filtering may be used to provide an updated Collaborative filtering may be run

combination of spidering and collaborative filtering does determining search term recommendations using a use "categories." As can be appreciated from the foregoing,

The Examiner's Interpretation of the Paine Patent

application includes ar index 350 in which a category 352 more keywords. As one example, Figure 3 of the present Consequently, a category can be used to lookup one or of categories is associated with one or more keywords. consistent with the present invention, each of a number terms and keywords. Howsver, in exemplary embodiments Examiner is interpreting "category" to include search Paine publication. To reach this conclusion, the results to get "collaborative filtering" results in the at least one category as reading on using the "spidering" determining one or more keywords from using the accepted the "spidering" results in the Paine publication, and (2) accepting at least one category as reading or accepting be used as a key to obtain associated keywords 354. The Examiner is apparently interpreting (1)

PAGE 25/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

and e-commerce, those skilled in the art appreciate that

In the context of the Internet

meaning of category is a defined class in a

Examiner's interpretation of "category". The ordinary

The appellant continues to disagree with the

classification system.

categories typically pertain to preduct and service categories. For example, the Wobsite Amazon.com includes product categories including 300ks, Music, DVD, V9S, Magazines & Newspapers, Computer & Video Games, Software, Electronics, Audio & Video, Camera & Photo, Cell Phones & Service, Computers, Office Froducts, Musical Instruments, Scrimet Food, Kitchen & Housewares, Ourdoor Living, Pet Supplies, Tools & Hardware, Apparel & Accessories, Shoes, Jewelry & Matches, Beauty, Health & Personal Care, Sports & Cuidocra, Toys & Games and Baby.

The use of the term "category" in the specification is consistent with the ordinary meaning of category and its meaning in the context of e-correcce. For example, in the illustrative example provided in \$ 4.3 of the specification, it is described that:

Category determinations operations 410 may determine various, possibly relevant, categories (and possibly sub-categories) such as:

automobiles.

computers .. operating systems ..

music .. popular music ..

music .. musical instruments ..

arimals .. musurals .. felines ..

movies .. foreign films ..

travel .. resorts ..

sports & recreation .. snorkeling .. scuba ..

sports & recreation .. football ..

pets .. fish

page 25, lines 13-26. Embodiments consistent with the present invention use associations between categories and keywords to suggest appropriate keywords. Using categories allows the suggestion of irrelevant keywords

"Jaguar" for example, car have multiple meanings), to be (that might occur due to the fact that some words,

11ke

on their use of common keywords) as described above. be similar to the new advertiser, not categories, based Website -- mot categories) and/or collaborative filtering serving ada, it does not use categories as claimed. also recommends or suggests search terms used when Rather, it uses spicering (which uses keywords found on a (which uses keywords from other advertisers considered to The Examiner uses the fact that "attimobile" is used On the other hand, although the Paine publication

mean that the same term, when used as a search term, might be used as a label representing a category does not Circuit ("the CAFC") stated: would be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art. the Examiner improperly ignores the specification as it submits that the rejection rests on an improper represents a category. Thus, the appellant respectfully categories. However, the fact that a partiquiar term to prove that search terms and keywords are the same as and a category in the present application in an arrempt as an example of a search term in the Paine publication In Phillips v. AWH, the Court of Appeals for the Federal below]. 12, 2005) (en banc) 'referred to as "Phillips v. AVH" Ebillies v. ANH Corp., 75 C.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. Tuly interpretation of "category" -- one that violates That is, when interpreting the term "category,"

relevant to the claim construction analysis. Usually, it is dispositive, it is the single bast the specification "is always highly

<u>Id.</u>,

guide to the meaning of a disputed term."

Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576, 1582 (Fed. Cir. 1996). 9 1327, queting from Vitronics Corp. v.

Patentable Features of the Claimed Invention

Having introduced the Paine publication, various

patentable features of the claimed invention are

possible or probable. (See, e.g., Transclean Corp. v. be consistent, necessary and inevitable, not merely a word is inherently a category. This is clearly words might cometimes be a label representing a category. Examiner's argument is apparently that since certain search term, represents a category. Specifically, the category does not meen that the same term, when used as particular term might be used as a label representing a publication and a category in the present application in used as an example of a search term in the Paine claimed category reads on a "word." In the Examiner's requires that an anticipatory inherent feature or result improper under the well-established case law which an attempt to prove that search terms and keywords are Answer, the Examiner uses the fact that "automobile" discussed. the same as categories. However, the fact that a As an initial matter, the Examiner alleges that the

PAGE 28/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

label representing a category constitutes, at best, a allegation that a particular word might be used as a 122 S.Ct. 913 (2002).)

Inc., 58 U.S.F.Q.2c i855 (fed. Cir. 2001), cert. denied 2002); See also, Eli Lilly & Co. v. Barr laboratories, Bridgewood Services, Inc., 62 U.S.F.Q.26 1855 (Fed. Cir.

That is, the Examiner's

possible (or accidental) result does not constitute an inevitable result) under the applicable case law. anticipation. possible result (not a consistent, necessary, or

categories, and a category may "include" one or more For example, a keyword can "belong to" one more be used to lookup keywords does not make them equivalent can be used to lookup categories, and (2) categories can forth below. In addition, the facts that (1) keywords The appellant respectfully disagrees for reasons set cateçories and categories can be used to lookup keywords example cites that keywords can be used to lookup specification uses "category" and "keyword" keywords. interchangeably to perform the same functions, and as The Examiner also argues that the Appellant's These are clearly different relationships. ne

well-estabiished meaning, are provided in the in the art of electronic advertising and electronic above, the term "category" has an established definition therefore improper. would have used and (2) the specification, and is interpretation that one of ordinary skill in the art "categories" is icconsistent with both (1) the specification. commerce, and examples, consistent with this definition is not required. In any event, as discussed specifically defines category. However, a specific The Examiner also alleges that the appellant never Thus, the Examiner's interpretation of (See MPEP 2111.)

properly characterized as "keywords" Group I: Claims 1, 14, 42 and 55 To surrarize, the claimed "categories" cannot be -24-

PAGE 29/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

rew advertiser.

a category does not read on the keywords of the Paine publication does not teach an act of (or means for) get a rating of 5 for every term that it has bid on and a 5) assigned to each entry in an advertiser/term existing advertisers using a numeric rating correlation between a rew advertise; and all of the To reiterate, the Paine publication computes the Pearson alleged categories are not used to "look up" keywords. publication. Eurther, regardless of whether or not a looking up one or more keywords using a category. First, on by "category"; table. the Faine publication as alleged by the Examiner, these "category" reads on the keywords returned by spidering in (apparently, the Examiner interprets "term" as being read Independent claims i. An existing advertiser might 14, 42 and

might get a rating of 5 for terms it has accepted, a 1 likely it is that each term is a good search term for the advertisers), the collaborative filter predicts how the new advertiser and the existing advertisers (that is, collaborative filter has computed the correlation between for terms that it has rejected, and a 2 for every other advertiser (to which recommendations are to be made) rating of UNKNOWN for every other term. to loakup one or more keywords. Accordingly, independent terms (alleged to be categories) is not using a category collaborative filtering process to get terms (alleged how similar the new advertiser is to various existing (See, e.g., paragraph [0132].) Once the used by other advertisers from existing (See, e.g., paragraph [0104].) Shis The new [e.g., 3 to

PAGE 30/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

publication for at least this reason. 14, 42 and 55 are not anticipated by the Paine

cp* is a broad term and that the Paine publication uses dated December 9, 2008, page 11.) The appellant ward to look up other words. respectfully disagree. Furthermore, the Examiner had argued that "looking (See Examiner's answer

Note also that dependent claims 5, 13, 31, 46, 59 and 72 specification, and is therefore improper. ordinary skill in the art would have used and (2) the inconsistent with both (1) the interpretation that one of Examiner's interpretation of "lookup" or "looking up" is established meaning of looking up in the art. specification is consistent with this meaning in the art. or items of information), and the use of the term in the in the art (a function in which a previously constructed index. Osing an index is also consistent with this well-(grouped separately) recite that the lookup uses an index or table of values is searched for a desired item First, "locking up" has a well-established meaning (See XP32 Thus, the

ä Claims 27, 68 and 61-84

by the Paine publication for at least the reasons discussed above with reference to the claims of Group 1. West, independent claims 27, 68 and 84 are not First, claims 27, 66 and 81-83 are not anticipated

In rejecting claims 27, 68 and 84, the Examiner contends determining a category using the accepted ad information gublication does not teach accepting ad information anticipated by the Paine publication because the Faire and

PAGE 31/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Jan.10. 2011 6:57PM

lines 1-3 of the Abstract of the Paine publication teach the aforementioned feature. (See Paper No. 20100527, page 3.) However, the cited portion of the Paine publication merely provides:

In a pay-for-placement search system, the system makes search term recommendations to advertisers managing their accounts in one or more of two ways. A first technique involves looking for good search terms directly on an advertiser's rab site. A second technique involves comparing an advertiser to other, similar advertisers and recommending the search terms the other advertisers have chosen. [Emphasis added.]

(lines 1-3 of the Abstract of the Paine publication)
Making search term recommendations to advertisers does
not teach the combination of (A) accepting ad information
and (B) determining a category using the accepted ad
information. As discussed above, the claimed
"categories" cannot be properly characterized as
"keywords" or "search terms."

Thus, claims 27, 68 and 84 are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least this additional reason. Since claim 63 depends from claim 27, and since claims 81 and 82 depend from claim 68, these claims are similarly not anticipated by the Paine publication.

Group III: Claims 86 and 87

First, since claims 86 and 87 depend from claims 1, they are not anticipated by the Faine publication for at

PAGE 32/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Group

ä

least the reasons discussed above with reference to claims of Group I.

with reference to the claims of Group I. between categories and keywords (or serving constraints terms (alleged to be keywords) used by other advertisers collaborative filtering in the Paine publication to keywords (or serving constraints). The use of categories is specifically associated with one or more these claims recite that each of the one or more which would enable a lookup such as that discussed above this additional reason. are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least used by other advertisers. specifically associate the existing terms with the terms from existing terms (alleged to be categories) does not and 87 recite a relationship Therefore, claims 86 and 87 Specifically, get

terms from another set of terms, those two sets of terms publication, "if a program is able to get one set of publication, the association exists only after the other before since the association is used by the lookup). keyword(s) are looked up and provided (and must exist claims 86 and 87, the association exists before the ignores the fact that these claims further recite that answer dated December 9, 2008, page 11.) This argument have to be inherently 'associated'. set of terms is generated by the program. the association is used to lookup keywords. Finally, the Examiner had argued that in the Paine in the Examiner's application of the Paine (See Examiner's That is, in ВУ

PAGE 33/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

reasons discussed above with reference to the claims of anticipated by the Paice publication for at least the First, since claim 33 depends from claims 27, t

categories and keywords (or serving constraints) which advertisers. Therefore, claim 38 is not anticipated by in the Paine publication to get terms (alleged to be serving constraints). The use of collaborative filtering world enable a lockup. the Paine publication for at least this additional associate the existing terms with the terms used by other (alleged to be categories) does not spacifically beywords) used by other advertisers from existing terms specifically associated with one or more keywords recite that each of the one or more categories is Second, claim 86 recites a relationship between Specifically, claim 88 claims

publication, "if a program is able to get one set of generated by the program. association exists only after the other set of terms is the association is used by the lookup). are looked up and provided (and must exist before since claim 88, the association exists before the keyword(s) ignores the fact that these claims further recite that answer dated December 9, 2008, page 11.) This argument the Examiner's application of the Paine publication, the have to be inherently 'associated'." terms from another set of terms, those two sets of terms the association is used to lookup keywords. Firelly, the Examiner had argued that in the Faine (See Examiner's By contrast, in That is, in

PAGE 34/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Claims 6, 19, 32, 47,

60

and 73

claim belonging to Group I, they are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least the reasons discussed above with reference to the claims of Group I.

First, since each of these claims legends from a

cetermining if a keyword is qualified or unqualified for use as a targeting keyword of the advertisement, wherein each of the at least some of the advertisement, wherein for more ad targeting keywords of the advertisement are qualified keywords. In rejecting claims 6, 19, 32, 47, 60 and 73, the Examiner contends that paragraphs [0115] and [0116] of the Paine publication teach performing qualification testing of one or more keywords to determine if a keyword is qualified or unqualified for use as an ad targeting keyword, and providing qualified keywords as ad targeting keywords. (See Faper No. 20100527, page 3.) The appellant respectfully disagrees.

The cited portion of the Pairs publication describes "filter[ing] out bad terms" produced by the Spidering technique. (paragraph [0115] of the Pains publication) The filtering is based on the "frequency with which a term appears in documents on the World Wide Web, and the frequency with which users search for it." (paragraph [0115] of the Paine publication) Meanwhile, the specification of the present application provides an example of such qualification testing, stating:

Figure 8 is a flow diagram of an exemplary method 800 that may be used to try keywords for qualification as targeting keywords in a manner

-1E-

performed responsive to various different performance levels. If a keyword performs well (e.g., in advertiser information inserted, with qualified targeting keywords could be fact, generic creatives (for example, creative templates with certain amount of thre arc/or after a certain number of such ad serves, various ad spcts (inventory) that otherwise would be unused. After a certain Although not shown, keywords can be assigned various different status using poorly performing keywords. frustration of targeting their ads this way, advertisers can avoid the (e.g., in general, or for the particular category) (Block 86C) before the method BDD is left (Mode E7C). In disqualified and marked as unusable on the other hand, a keyword does not perform well (e.g., in general, or for a particular category), it may be subject to expedited approvals. If, **particular category) (Block 856) before the method 800 is left (Kode 876). In suggested, and/or as a qualified it may be marked as a keyword to be general, or for a perticular category), branches of the method ECC may be the serving of the ads using trial targeting keyword (s) may be limited to may be tracked (Block 83C). In one embodiment of the present invention, 820) and the performance of such ads as targeting keywords in the serving of operations may use one or more keywords consistent with the present invention. good if ade served pursuant to using

PAGE 36/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

rejecting claims 7-10,

using the keyword as an ad targeting keyword.

20-23, 33-36, 48-51, 61-64 and

perform (e.g., have a click-through rate) comparable to what salespeople and/or customers already think are the best keywords (e.g., the keywords that they are already using). [Emphasis acided.]

page 22, line 25 through page 23, line 20 of the present application; As this example demonstrates, the Examiner's interpretation of "qualification testing" based on the "frequency with which a term appears in documents on the World Wide Web, and the frequency with which users search for it" is inconsistent with how one skilled in the art would interpret this term, in light of the specification.

Inus, claims 6, 19, 32, 47, 50 and 73 are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least this additional reason.

tip VI: Claims 7-10, 20-23, 33-36, 48-51, 61-64 and 74-77

First, since each of those claims depends from a claim belonging to Group V, they are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least the reasons discussed above with reference to the claims of Group V (and therefore of Group I).

Further, these claims recite that the act of performance of a set of one or more advertisements served

PAGE 37/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

74-77, the Examiner simply alleges that the performance of acs served using targeting keywords is tracked, citing

paragraphs [CO37] and [OC88] of the Paine publication. (See Paper No. 20100527, page 3.) However, merely tracking performance does not teach using such performance for purposes of performing qualification testing of keywords. Accordingly, these claims are not anticipated by the Paine publication for at least this additional reason.

Group VII: Claims 30 and 71

First, since claims 30 and 71 depends from claims 27 and 56, these claims are not anticipated by the Paire publication for at less; the reasons discussed above with reference to Group II.

advertisement includes ad creative information for claims 30 and 71 recite that the ad includes ad creative Although an advertiser Website might be linked to an ad, as discussed in the Paine publication to contain ad 30 and 7', the Examiner considered an advertiser Website of determining at least one category uses information Rebpage linked from the advertisement, and that the act rendering the advertisement and an address of a landing for at least this additional reason these claims are not anticipated by the Paine publication Website (which may be linked from the ad). the ad (and ad creative information) from an advertiser landing Webpage linked from the ad. This distinguishes information for rendering the ad and an address of a creative information. from the landing Webpage. In rejecting dependent claims Next, these claims further recite that the (See Paper No. 20100527, page 3.) Accordingly,

PAGE 38/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

appellant respectfully requests that the Board reverse being unpatentable over the Paine publication. 70 and 78 stand rejected under 35 C.S.C. § 103 as Claims 2, 11, 15, 24, 28, 29, 37, 43, 52, 56, 65,

Claims 2, 15, 43 and 56

this ground of rejection in ries of the following

contends that the Paine publication uses a list of good one skilled in the art to include negative keywords Website, and concludes that it would have been obvious to keywords that have no relation to the Edvertiser's words for an advertiser's Rebsite and a list of negative because doing so would allow more accuracy in relation to relevent keywords. rejecting claims 2, 15, 43 and 56, the Examiner (See Paper No. 20100527, page 4.)

publication for at least the reasons discussed with these claims are not rendered obvious by the Pairs view of the purported well-known teachings. Therefore, of an obvious reason to modify the Paine publication in Paine publication with respect to claims 1, 14, 42 and 55 teachings do not compensate for the deficiencies of the respect to the claims of Group I above. (discussed above), regardless of the presence or absence 42 and 55, respectively. First, claims 2, 15, 43 and 56 depend from claims 1, The purportedly well-known

the positive and negative scores assigned to keywords is motivated to modify the Paine publication as proposed by Second, one skilled in the art would not have been Specifically, in the Palme publication,

existing advertiser. determining whether a new advertiser is similar to an used in the cortext of collaborative filtering for This has nothing to do with the use

provides no obvicus reason in the art for the proposed of negative keywords for controlling the serving of ads. negative scores in the Faine publication is not relevant modification, the appellant notes that the use of to negative keywords in the present claims. Third, in addition to the fact that the Examiner Consequently, claims 2, 15, 43 and 56 are not

Group IX: Claims 28 and 69

additional reasons.

rendered povious by the Paire patent for at least these

would allow more accuracy in relation to relevant in the art to include negative keywords because doing so concludes that it would have been obvious to one skilled that have no relation to the advertiser's Website, and an advertiser's Website and a list of negative keywords that the Paine publication uses a List of good words for kaywords. In rejecting claims 28 and 69, the Examiner contends (See Paper No. 20100527, page 4.)

presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the claims 28 and 69 (discussed above), regardless of the the deficiencies of the Paine publication with respect to purportedly weil-known teachings do not compensate for Paine publication in view of the purported well-known depend from claims 27 and 68, respectively. The teachings. First, claims 28 and 69 directly or indirectly Therefore, these claims are not rendered

STRAUB & POKOTYLO

ciscussed with respect to the claims of Group II above. obvious by the Paine publication for at least the reasons

motivated to modify the Paine publication as proposed by of negative keywords for controlling the serving of ads. existing advertiser. This has nothing to do with the use determining whether a new advertiser is similar to an the positive and negative scores assigned to keywords is used in the context of collaborative filtering for the Examiner. Third, in addition to the fact that the Examiner Second, one skilled in the art would not have been Specifically, in the Paine publication,

to megative keywords in the present claims. negative scores in the Paine publication is not relevant modification, the appellant notes that the use of provides no obvious reason in the art for the proposed Consequently, claims 23 and 59 are not rendered

obvicus by the Paine patent for at least these additional

Claims 11, 24, 52 and S.

type of ac space that will be used for the ac on a search concedes that the Paine publication does not discuss the Paine publication, the Examiner states Regarding claims 11, 24, 52 and 65, the Examiner To compensate for this admitted deficiency of the

advertisement is added to a search page, it should be added to an ad spot that would otherwise be unused, or the 2 adventisements would overlap and some data would be obstructed from view. common sense dictates that when a new

(Paper No. 20100527, page 5.) The appellant respectfully disagrees.

First, claims 11, 24, 52 and 65 indirectly depend from claims 1, 14, 42 and 55, respectively. The purportedly well-known teachings do not compensate for the deficiencies of the Paine publication with respect to claims 1, 14, 42 and 55 (discussed above), regardless of the presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the Paine publication in view of the purported well-known teachings. Therefore, these claims are not rendered obvious by the Faine publication for at least the reasons discussed with respect to the claims of Group I.

Second, claims 11, 24, 52 and 65 concern qualification testing of beyword (or serving constraint) recommendations. (See, e.g., Figure 8 of the present application.) As stated, "[i]n one embodiment of the present the present invention, the serving of the ads using trial targeting keyword (s) may be limited to ad spots (inventory) that otherwise would be imused." (Page 22, line 36 through page 23, line 1) In this way, testing of keyword recommendations has a minimal impact on the system. For example, ads being served with remains the remains be sarved in embodiments consistent with claims 11, 24, 52 and 65.

As used in the art, the term "ad apot" means a gortion of a document, such as a Web page, available to show acc -- it does not mean any spot on a document. As described in the specification:

Suppose that the Web page has ten (10) ad spots and ten (10) ads are

-37

obvious by the Paine publication for at least this

additional reason

CTOUP XI:

Clains

37 apd 78

served. In this case, there are no unused ad spots, and the information 560 need not be updated. If, however, the Web page has ten (10) ad spots and only three (3) ads are served, there are seven (7) unused ad spots.

page has ten (it) an sport and only three (3) acts are served, there are seven (7) unused ad spots.

(Page 20, lines 4-8 of the present application)

testing) may be limited to ad spots (inventory) that ads using trial targeting keyword(s) (i.e., qualification and 65 describe an embodinent where the serving of the and be guaranteed to be served.) Thus, claims 11, 24, 52 unused, advertiser could bid nothing or a nominal amount would otherwise have been served if not for ad 4. case that another ad (ad E) losses out to ad A, and ad B great number of eligible ads competing to be placed on an 20100527, page 5.) However, often times there are a added to an ad spot that would otherwise be unused, states that common sense cictates when a new otherwise would be unused as described on Page 20, lines for ac spots. (Indeed, this is the reason why advertisers submit bids otherwise the two ads would overlap. (Paper No. advartisement is added to a search page, it should be cf the present application above. Thus, claims 11, 24, 52 and 65 are not rendered In rejecting claims 11, 24, 52 and 65, the Examiner If an ad (ad A) is served, it is very often the If the ad spors were necessarily otherwise

PAGE 43/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

STRAUB & POKOTYLO

Ic compensate for this admitted deficiency of the Pains ad space that will be used for the ad on a search site. publication, the Examiner states: that the Paine publication does not discuss the type of Regarding claims 37 and 78, the Examiner concedes

advertisement is added to a search common serge dictates that when a new

disagmees. (Pape≃ Nc. 20100527, page 5.) The appellant respectfully 2 advertisements would overlap and some data would be obstructed from view. that would otherwise be unused, or the page, it should be added to an ad spot

present invention, the serving of the ads using trial application.) As stated, "[i]n one embodiment of the testing of keyword (or serving constraint) discussed with respect to the claims of Group II. obvious by the Paine publication for at least the reasons teachings. Therefore, these claims are not rendered presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the claims 27 and 63 (discussed above), regardless of the lire 30 through page 23, line 1) (irventory) that otherwise would be unused." (Page 22, cargeting keyword (s) may be limited to ad spots recommendations. (See, e.g., Figure 8 of the present Paine publication in view of the purported well-known deficiencies of the Paine publication with respect to Second, claims 37 and 78 concern qualification In this way, testing of

well-known teachings do not compensate for the claims 27 and 63, respectively. The purportedly

First, claims 27 and 78 indirectly depend from

keyword recommendations has a minimal impact on the system. For example, ads being served with keywords being tested would not displace ads that would otherwise be served in smbodiments consistent with claims 37 and 73.

As used in the art, the term "ad spot" means a portion of a document, such as a Web page, available to show add -- it does not mean any spot on a document. A described in the specification:

Suppose that the Neb page has ten (10) ad spots and ten (10) ads are served. In this case, there are

(10) ad spots and ter (10) ads are served. In this case, there are no unused ad spots, and the information 560 need not be updated. If, however, the Web page has ten (10) ad spots and only three (3) ads are served, there are seven (7) unused ad spots.

(Page 20, lines 4-8 of the present application)

In rejecting claims 37 and 78, the Examiner states that common sense dictates when a new advantisement is added to a search page, it should be added to an ad spot that would otherwise be unused, otherwise the two ads mould ovariage. (Paper No. 20100527, page 5.) However, often times there are a great number of eligible ads competing to be placed on an ad spot. If an ad (ad A) is served, it is very often the case that another ad (ad B) losses out to ad A, and ad B would otherwise have been served if not for ad A. (Indeed, this is the reason why advertisers submit bids for ad spots. If the ad spots were necessarily otherwise mused, advertiser could bid nothing or a nominal amount and be guaranteed to be

PAGE 45/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Group XIII: Claims 31 and 72

served.) Thus, claims 37 and 78 describe an embediment where the serving of the ads using trial targeting keyword(s) (i.e., qualification testing) may be limited to ad spots (inventory) that otherwise would be unused as described on Page 20, lines 4-8 of the present application above.

Thus, claims 37 and 78 are not rendered obvious by the Paine publication for at least this additional reason.

Group XII: Claims 5, 18, 46 and 59

Claims 5, 18, 46 and 59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Paine publication in view of the Roundoncle publication. The appealment respectfully requests that the Board reverse this ground of rejection in view of the following.

Claims 5, 13, 46 and 59 depend from claims 1, 14, 42

Claims 5, 19, 46 and 59 depend from claims 1, 14, 42 and 55, respectively. The purported teachings of the Bourdoccle publication do not compensate for the deficiencies of the Faine publication with respect to claims 1, 14, 42 and 55 (discussed above), regardless of the presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the Paine publication in view of the purported teachings of the Bourdoncle publication. Therefore, these claims are not rendered obvious by the Faine and Bourdoncle publications for at least the reasons discussed with respect to the claims of Group I shove.

11

PAGE 46/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Claims 31 and 72 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 8 193 as being ungalentable over the Paine publication in wiew of the Bourdoncle publication. The appeliant respectfully requests that the Board reverse this ground

of rejection in view of the following.

Claims 31 and 72 directly or indirectly depend from claims 27 and 68, respectively. The purported teachings of the Bourdoncle publication do not compensate for the deficiencies of the Paine publication with respect to claims 27 and 63 (discussed above), regardless of the presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the faine publication in view of the purported teachings of the Ecurdoncle publication. Therefore, these claims are not rendered obvious by the Paine and Bourdoncle publications for at least the reasons discussed with respect to the claims of Group II above.

Group XIV: Claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 53, 54, 66 and 67

Claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 53, 54, 66 and 67 stand rejected under 35 J.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Paine publication in view of the Giacalone publication. The appeliant respectfully requests that the Board reverse this ground of rejection in view of the following.

First, claims 12 and 13 depend from claim 1, claims 25 and 26 depend from claim 14, claims 33 and 54 depend from claim 42 and claims 65 and 67 depend from claim 55. The purported teachings of the Giacalone publication do not compensate for the deficiencies of the Paine publication with respect to claims 1, 14, 42 and 55 (discussed above with respect to Group I), regardless of

the presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the Paine publication in view of the purported teachings of the Giabalone publication: Therefore, these claims are not rendered obvious by the Paine and Giabalone publications for at least the reasons discussed with respect to the claims of Group I above.

Second, by ordering Keywords based on number of

Second, by ordering keywords based on number of unused ad spots associated with the keywords embodiments consistent with these claims provide advantages not even contemplated by the Faine publication. For example, "keywords that, if used as targeting keywords, would fill many otherwise unused ad spots may be preferred over those that would fill few otherwise unused ad spots." (Fage 19, lines 28-30 of the present application) "In this way, keywords that, if used as targeting keywords, would fill more ad spots may be considered first." (Page 21, lines 27 and 28 of the present application).

The Examiner concedes that the Paire publication does not teach ordering ads based on an amount left in unused inventory. (See Paper No. 2010/6527, page 6.) Is compensate for this admitted deficiency, the Examiner contends that paragraph [0027] of the Gracalone publication "teach(es) a system and method in which advertisements for clothing that have the highest inventory are shown more..." (Faper No. 2010/6527, page 5) Specifically, the Glacalone publication provides:

Some of the information displayed on the system can depend on information present in comporate databases. The comporate data gateway (16) is used to access this external information. For example, if we want to key the advertisements of clothing so that the not rendered obvious by the Paine and Giacalone

Thus, claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 53, 54, 65 and 67

publications for at least this additional reason

ones with the highest inventory are

(paragraph [0027] of the Giacalone publication). As can via the network interface (42). information that the Server (0) can use information and changes it into secured data network (24). It then takes this extractor that can guery information on gateway contains a corporate data type of application requires the for more sales of the merchandise. This item can be increased, and thus provide the inventory level is high for an be queried to find out the relative comporate databases via the corporate corporate data gateway. Internally, the item, the frequency of ads for that shown more, the corporate database can inventory levels. If it is found that

after specifically querying the database to determine the that otherwise would be unused by any ads. unused inventory information about available ad spots storing one or more keywords in an order determined using scheduling an advertisement to appear more frequently more frequently if it is found that the inventory level publication, advertisements can be scheduled to appear that item can be increased. Thus, in the Glacalone database for this information), the frequency of ads for high for an item (after specifically querying a corporate publication, if it is found that the inventory level is be appreciated from the foregoing, in the Giacalone inventory of the product being advertised, does not teach for the item being advertised is high. However,

PAGE 49/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Claims 36, 39, 79 and 80, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Paine publication in view of the Glacalone publication. The appellant respectfully requests that the Board reverse this ground of rejection in view of the following.

First, claims 38 and 39 indirectly depend from claim 27 and claims 79 and 80 depend from claim 68. The purported teachings of the Siacalone publication do not compensate for the deficiencies of the Raine publication with respect to Claims 27 and 68 (discussed above with respect to Group II), regardless of the presence or absence of an obvious reason to modify the Paine publication in view of the purported teachings of the Siacalone publication. Therefore, these claims are not rendered obvious by the Paine and Giacalone publications for at least the reasons discussed with respect to the claims of Group II above.

second, by ordering keywords based on number of unused as spots associated with the keywords embodiments consistent with these claims provide advantages not even contemplated by the Paine publication. For example, "keywords that, if used as targeting keywords, would fill many otherwise unused as spots may be preferred over those that would fill few otherwise unused ad spots." (Fage 19, lines 23-30 of the present application) "In this way, keywords that, if used as targeting keywords, would fill more ad spots may be considered first." (Page 21, lines 27 and 28 of the present application)

PAGE 50/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

The Examiner concedes that the Paine publication does not teach ordering ads based on an amount left in unused inventory. (See Paper No. 20190527, gage 6.) To compensate for this admitted deficiency, the Examiner contends that paragraph [0027] of the Glacalone publication "Teach[es] a system and method in which adventisements for clothing that have the highest inventory are shown more...." (Paper No. 20100527, page 6) Specifically, the Glacalone publication provides:

information and changes it into secured information that the Server (3) can use via the network interface (42). [Emphasis added.] data network (24). It then takes this corporate databases via the corporate extractor that can query information on gateway contains a corporate data corporate data gateway. Internally, the item, the frequency of ads for that item can be increased, and thus provide the inventory level is high for an be queried to find out the relative ones with the highest inventory are advartisements of clothing so that the example, if we want to key the access this external information. For corporate data gateway (16) is used to present in comporate damabases. The the system can depend on information Same of the information displayed on type of application requires the for more seles of the merchandise. This inventory levels. If it is found that shown more, the corporate database can

(paragraph [3027] of the Giacalone publication) As can be appreciated from the foregoing, in the Giacalone publication, if it is found that the inventory level is high for an item (after specifically quarying a component

PAGE 51/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Jan.10. 2011 6:59PM

that otherwise would be unused by any ads. storing one or more keywords in an order determined using inventory of the product being advertised, does not teach after specifically querying the database to determine the scheduling an advertisement to appear more frequently more frequently if it is found that the inventory level publication, advertisements can be acheduled to appear that item can be increased. Thus, in the Giacaline database for this information), the frequency of ads for for the item being advertised is high. However, unused inventory information about available ad spots

Thus, claims 3ε , 39, 79 and 80 are not rendered

chvious by the Paine and Giacalone publications for at

PAGE 52/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

VIII.

Ar appendix containing a copy of the claims on appeal is filed herewith.

PAGE 53/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

. Evidence append

There is no evidence submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §S 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132, not is there any other evidence entered by the Examiner and relied upon by the enneating the appeal.

PAGE 54/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

-49-

There are no decisions rendered by a court of the Board in any proceeding identified in section II above pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.38 (c) (i) (ii).

PAGE 55/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

-50-

implications in the future.

Ħ Conclusion

Board reverse each of the outstanding grounds of allowance. Accordingly, the appellant requests that the submits that the pending claims are in condition for rejection. In view of the foregoing, the appellant respectfully

and/or rejections, the appellant's silence as to any unclaimed (e.g., narrower, broader, different) filings with respect to the Examiner's objections and/or rejections are sufficient to overcome these objections civisional patent application for example. subject matter, such as by way of a continuation or of, the appellant's right to seek patent protection of arguments are made without prejudice to, or disclaimer to the specific aspects of the invention claimed. Since the appellant's remarks, amendments, and/or Any arguments made in this Appeal Brief pertain only

the right to amalyze and dispute any such assertions and rejection have been met. Thus, the appellant reserves and that all requirements for an objection and/or that such assertions and/or implications are accurate, patentable, etc.) is not a concession by the appellant modified, whether dependent claims are separately art, whether references have been properly combined or assemtions by the Examiner in the Office Action and/or to as, for example, whether a reference constitutes prior objections and/or rejections in the Office Action (such certain facts or conclusions that may be implied by

January 10, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (and any accompanying paper(s)) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent Office on the date shown below.

of person signing certification

January 10, 2011 Date

Signature

Reg. No. 60,441 Tel.: (732) 936-1400

Respectfully submit Linardakis, Attorney

PAGE 57/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

keyword.

wherein the act of looking up one or note keywords uses a

The computer-implemented method of claim

stored index in which each of the plurality of categories

No.1807 P. 58

37 C.F.R. § 41.37 (c) (1) [vii] CLADIS APPENDIX PURSUANT TO

keywords;	stored association of a plurelity of categories and	keywords using the accepted category and a previously	b) looking up, with the computer system, one or more:	plurality of networked computers, a category;	 accepting, with a computer system including a 	method comprising:	or more ad targeting keywords, the computer-implemented	Claim 1: A computer-implemented method for determining one	
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	--------------------	---	--	--

15 13 11 10 negative keyword is used to make the advertisement Claim 2: ire_igible to be served for requests including the negative keywords is a negative keyword for the advertisement, which wherein at least one of the one or more ad targeting ō. Ω. advertisement to a user is induced. served with the computer system, presentation of the targeting keywords, wherein when the advertisement is of the advertisement using the stored one or more ad targeting keywords of an advertisement; and of the one or more keywords as one or more ad controlling, with the computer system, a serving storing, with the computer system, at least The computer-implemented mathod of claim :

PAGE 58/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

is provided as a lookup key to one or more keywords

advertisement are qualified keywords. associated with each category. advertisements served using the keyword as an ad targeting keyword tracks a performance of a set of one or more wherein the act of performing qualification testing of the Claim 7: stored as one or more ad targeting keywords of further comprising: is qualified or unqualified for use as a targeting testing of the one or more keywords; and performing, with the computer system, qualification keyword of the advertisement, determining, with the computer system, if a keyword The computer-implemented method of claim The computer-implemented method of claim 1 wherein each of the at least some of the keywords

PAGE 59/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

advertisements is tracked in general, across alwherein the performance of the set of one or more Claim 8: The computer-implemented method of claim

includes the advertisement.

keyword, wherein the set of one or more advertisements

ŗ

categories.

Cleir 9:

The computer-implemented method

of claim

categories.

advertisements is tracked across one or more specific wherein the performance of the set of one or more Jan-10, 2011 6:59PM STRAUB & POKOTYLO

otherwise would be unused by other ads is provided before

keyword corresponding to a larger number of ad spots that

another keyword corresponding to a smaller number of spots that otherwise would be unused by other ads.

one or more ad targeting keywords, the computer-implemented

A computer-implemented method for determining

method comprising:

Clair

1 Claim 1D: The computer-implemented method of claim 9
2 wherein the one or nore specific categories include the
3 category accepted.
1 Claim 11: The computer-implemented method of claim 7
2 wherein the set of one or more advertisements served using
3 the keyword as an ad targeting keyword during the act of
4 performing qualification testing of the keywords, are only
5 served on available ad spots that otherwise would be imused
6 by any ads.
1 Claim 12: The computer-implemented method of claim i

Claim 12: The computer-implemented method of claim is wherein the act of storing at least some of the keywords as one or more ad targeting keywords of the advertisement stores the one or more keywords in an order determined using unused inventory information about available ad spots that otherwise would be unused by any ads.

Claim 12: The computer-implemented method of claim 1 wherein the act of storing at least some of the one or more keywords as one or more advertisement stores the one or more keywords in an order determined using unused inventory information such that a

PAGE 60/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

negative keyword is used to make the advertisement

ineligible to be served for requests including the negative

wherein at least one of the one or more ad targeting

keywords is a negative keyword for the advertisement, which

category.

wherein the act of looking up keywords uses a stored index

The computer-implemented method of claim 14

in which each of the plurality of pategories is provided as

a lookup key to one or more keywords associated with each

Claim 18:

1 C1	CS	6.	1.8	17	16	15	14	`13	12	Ξ	10	v	00	7	œ.	(J)	4
Claim 15: The computer-implemented method of claim 14	advertiser imput.	an advertisement of the advertiser using the received	of the one or more keywords as targeting keywords for	e) determining whether or not to store at least some	and	input in response to the suggested targeting keywords:	 d) receiving, with the computer system, advartiser 	advertiser;	induce presentation of the one or more keywords to an	more keywords as suggested targeting keywords to	c) transmitting, with the computer system, the one or	keywords;	stored association of a plurality of categories and	keywords using the accepted category and a previously	 b) looking up, with the computer system, one or more 	plurality of networked computers, a category;	 accepting, with a computer system including a

PAGE 61/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

category accepted.

Claim 23:

The computer-implemented method of

claim 20

wherein the one or more specific categories include the

wherein the performance of the set of one or more advertisements is tracked across one or more specific

categories

wherein the performance of the set of one or more wherein the act of performing qualification testing of further comprising: Claim 19: Claim 22: advertisements is tracked in general, across all advertisements served using the keyword as an ad targeting keyword tracks a performance of a set of one or more transmitted to the advertiser is a qualified keyword includes the advertisement. mesting of the keywords; is qualified or unqualified for use as a targeting keyword of the advertisement, determining, with the computer system, if a keyword performing, with the computer system, qualification wherein the set of one or more advartisements wherein each of the at least some of the keywords The computer-implemented mathod of claim The computer-implemented method of claim 21 The computer-implemented method of claim 19 The computer-implemented method of claim 14 S

PAGE 62/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Jan.10. 2011 STRAUB & POKOTYLO 7:00PM

> ad spots that otherwise would be unused by other ads. before another keyword corresponding to a smaller number that otherwise would be unused by other ads is provided that a keyword corresponding to a larger number of ad spots

an order determined using unused inventory information such

served on available ad spots that otherwise would be unused performing qualification testing of the keywords, are only wherein the set of one or more advertisements sarved using suggested targeting keywords to induce a presentation of wherein the act of transmitting the one or more keywords by any ads. the keyword as an ad targeting keyword during the act of Claim 25: The computer-implemented method of claim 14 The computer-implemented method of claim 20

about available ad spots that otherwise would be unused by an order determined using unused inventory information the one or more keywords are presented to the advertiser in the one or more keywords are presented to the advertiser in the one or more keywords to an advertiser does so such that suggested targeting keywords to induce a presentation of wherein the act of transmitting the one or more keywords any acis the one or more keywords to an adventiser does so such that The computer-implemented method of claim 14

PAGE 63/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

plurality of networked computers, ad information, accepting, with a computer system including a or more serving constraints for targeting an ad, the

A computer-implemented method for generating one

computer-implemented method comprising:

10

12

16 17

13 14 15

'n

wherein the advertisement includes ad creative information

The computer-implemented method of claim

creative information of the advertisement

wherein the act of determining a category uses

the

advertisement to a user is induced served with the computer system, presentation of the of the advertisement using the stored one or more ad targeting keywords of an advertisement; and stored association of a plurality of dategories and keywords using the accepted category and a previously using the accepted ad information; targeting keywords, wherein when the advertisement is of the one or more keywords as one or more ad storing, with the computer system, at least some controlling, with the computer system, a serving looking up, with the computer system, one or more determining, with the computer system, a category

Webpage linked from the advertisement, and negative keyword is used to make the advertisement wherein the advertisement includes ad creative information Claim 29: keyword. ineligible to be served for requests including the negative keywords Claim 28: for rendering the advertisement and an address of a landing is a negative seyword for the advertisement, which The computer-implemented method of claim The computer-implemented method least one of the one or more ad targeting of claim N N

PAGE 64/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR: USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

includes the advertisement.

keyword,

wherein the act of performing qualification testing of the

Claim 33: The computer-implemented method of claim 83

keyword tracks a performance of a set of one or more

advertisements served using the keyword as an ad targeting

wherein the set of one or more advertisements

advertisement is a qualified keyword.

as one or more ad targeting keywords of the

wherein each of the at least some of the keywords

keyword of the advertisement,

datermining, with the computer system, if a keyword

qualified or unqualified for use as an ad targeting

stored

uses information from the landing Webpage. Webpage linked from the advertisement, and wherein the act of looking up one or more keywords uses a associated with each category. Claim 31: The computer-implemented method of claim for rendering the advertisement and an address of a landing wherein the act of determining at least one category

stored index in which each of the plurality of categories further comprising: Claim 52: The computer-implemented method of claim 83 is provided as a lookup key to one or more keywords testing of the keywords; and performing, with the computer system, qualification

PAGE 65/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

wherein the performance of the set of one or more

The computer-implemented method of claim

2

Claim 34:

4 w

- advert; sements is tracked in general, across all calegories.
- wherein the performance of the set of one or The computer-implemented method of claim 27 nore

advertisements is tracked across one or more specific

categories.

- The computer-implemented method of
- category accepted. wherein the one or more specific categories include the
- wherein the set of one or more advertisements served using The computer-implemented method of claim 33
- performing qualification testing of the keywords, are only the keyword as an ac targeting keyword during the act of
- served on available ad spots that otherwise would be unused by any ads.
- stores the one or more keywords in an order determined one or more ad targeting keywords of the advertisement

using unused inventory information about available ad spots

wherein the act of storing at least some of the keywords

Claim 38: The computer-implemented method of claim 83

- Claim 39: that otherwise would be unused by any ads. The computer-implemented method of claim
- wherein the act of storing at least some of the one or more keywords as one or more ad targeting keywords of an
- advertisement stores the one or more keywords in an order
- determined using unused inventory information such that a
- keyword corresponding to a larger number of ad spots that

œ

user is induced.

17	16	15	1.4	13	2	11	1C	სი	8	7	თ	ĹЛ	*	(LA)	8	–
keywords, wherein when the advertisement is	using the stored one or more ad targeting	controlling a serving of the advertisement	advertisement, and	or more ad targeting keywords of an	storing at least some of the keywords as one	keywords,	association of a plurality of categories and	sucepted category and a previously stored	 locking up one or more keywords using the 	networked processors, performs a method including	instructions which, when executed by the plurality of	c) at least one storage device storing executable	b) a plumality of networked processors; and	a) an input for accepting a category;	targeting keywords, the apparatus comprising:	Claim 42: Apparatus for determining one or more ad

or more ad targeting keywords of served, presentation of the advertisement to a keywords, wherein when the advertisement is using the stored one or more ad targeting controlling a serving of the advertisement advertisement, and

used to make the advertisement ineligible to be served for of the one or more ad targeting keywords is a negative requests including the negative keyword. keyword for the advertisement, which regative keyword is The apparatus of claim 42 wherein at least one

locking up one or more keywords uses a stored index in The apparatus of claim 42 wherein the act Š.

N

ő

Claim 50:

tracked in general, across all categories.

performance of the set of one or more advertisaments is

The apparatus of claim 48 wherein the

tracked across one or more specific categories

performance of the set

Claim 49:

The apparatus of claim 48 wherein the

of one or more advertisements is

C which each of the plurality of categories is provided as advertisement are qualified keywords. Claim 47: The apparatus of claim 42 wherein the method Claim 48: stored further includes category. lookup key to one or more keywords associated with each unqualified for use as an ac targeting keyword of the advertisament, kaywords; and as one or more ad targeting keywords of the performing qualification testing of the one or more determining if a keyword is qualified or wherein each of the at least some of the keywords

Claim 49: The apparatus of claim 47 wherein the act of performing qualification testing of the keywords tracks a performance of the set of one or nore advertisements served using the keyword as an ad targeting keyword, wherein the set of one or more advertisements includes the advertisement.

Ŋ

PAGE 68/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

Ľ

more specific categories include the category accepted

The apparatus of claim 50 wherein the one or

networked processors, performs a nethod including instructions which, when executed by the plurality

a plurality of networked processors; and

an input for accepting a category:

Apparatus for determining one or more

at least one storage device storing executable

by other acs targeting keywords, the apparatus comprising:

smaller number of ad spots that otherwise would be unused

number of ac spots that otherwise would be unused by other targeting keywords of the advertisement stores the one or storing at least some of the keywords as one or more ad ads is provided before another keyword corresponding to a information such that a keyword corresponding to a larger Claim 54: The apparatus of claim 42 wherein the act of more keywords in an order determined using unused inventory

more keywords in an order determined using unused inventory spots that otherwise would be unused by any ads. targeting keywords of the advertisement stores the one or storing at least some of the keywords as one or more ad testing of the keywords, is only served on available ad targering keyword by the act of performing qualification or more advertisements served using the keyword as an ad The apparatus of claim 42 wherein the act

Claim 52: The apparatus of claim 43 wherein the set of one

information about available ad spots that otherwise would

te unused by any ads.

PAGE 69/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

accepted category and a previously stored

looking up one or more keywords using the

Claim 60: The apparatus of claim 35 wherein the method

performing qualification testing of the keywords,

one or more keywords associated with each category.

further includes

1)	association of a plurality of categories and
11	keywords,
12	transmitting the one or more keywords as
13	suggested targeting keywords to induce
14	presentation of the one or more keywords to an
75	advertiser,
16	receiving advertiser input in response to the
7	suggested targeting keywords, and
18	4) determining whether or not to store at least
£1	some of the one or more keywords as targeting
20	keywords for an advertisement of the advertiser
21	using the received advertiser input.
₩	Claim 56: The apparatus of claim 55 wherein at least one
7)	of the one or more ad targeting keywords is a negative
ω	keyword of the advertisement, which negative keyword is
42	used to make the advertisement ineligible to be served for
ڻ	requests including the negative keyword.
۳	Claim 59: The apparatus of claim 55 wherein the act of
N	locking up keywords uses a stored index in which each of
w	the plurality of categories is provided as a lookup key to

PAGE 70/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

unqualified for use as a targeting keyword of the

determining if a keyword is qualified or

advertisement,

parformance of the set of one or more advertisements transmitted to the advertiser is a qualified keyword wherein each of the at least some of the keywords

- performance of a set of one or more advertisements served advertisement. set of one or more advertisements includes the using the keyword as performing qualification testing of the keyword tracks a The apparatus of claim & wherein the The apparatus of claim 60 wherein the act an ad targeting keyword, wherein the
- more specific categories include the category accepted. Claim 63: The appearatus of claim 62 wherein the one

tracked in general, across all categories.

tracked across one or more specific categories. performance of the set of one or more advertisements Claim The apparatus of claim 61 wherein the

The appearatus of claim 61 wherein the set of one

targeting keyword by the act of performing qualification more keywords are presented to the advertiser in an order more keywords to an advertiser does so such that the one or transmitting the one or more keywords as suggested Claim 66: The apparatus of claim 55 wherein the act apots that otherwise would be unused by any ads. testing of the keywords, is only served on available ad or more advertisements served using the keyword as targeting keywords to induce presentation of the Сf

PAGE 71/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

1' 1' 1' 1'

11 10 ø

6. 9.

transmitting the one or more keywords as suggested Clain 67: available ad spots that would otherwise be unused by any determined using unused inventory information about The apparatus of claim 55 wherein the

Claim 68: another keyword corresponding to a smaller number of ad otherwise would be unused by other acs is provided before determined using unused inventory information such that a more keywords are presented to the advertiser in an order more keywords to an advertiser does so such that the one or spots that otherwise would be unused by other ads. keyword corresponding to a larger number of ad spots that targeting keywords to induce presentation of the one or Apparatus for generating one or more keywords as

candidates for use as ad targeting keywords, the apparatus comprising:

- an input for accepting ad information;
- a plurality of networked processors; and at least one storage device storing executable
- networked processors, performs a method including instructions which, when executed by the plurality more keywords using the accepted category and a 2) looking up, with the computer system, one 1) determining a category using the accepted ac information,
- categories and keywords, previously stored association of a plurality of
- ad targeting keywords of an advertisement, and some of the one or more keywords as one or storing, with the computer system, at least

PAGE 72/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

uses information from a landing Webpage.

Webpage Linked from the advertisement, and

wherein the act of determining at least one category

rendering the advertisement and an address of a landing advertisement includes ad creative information for

1		a,	υī	4	W	2	۲	•	л	-	ω	2	۲	ຜ	స	Ξ	ö	6	æ
	Claim 71: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the	uses the creative information of the advertisement.	wherein the act of determining at least one category	Webpage linked from the advertisement, and	rendering the advertisement and an address of a landing	advertisement includes ad creative information for	Claim 70: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the		remests including the negative keyword.	used to make the advertisement incligible to be served for	keyword for the advertisement, which negative keyword is	of the one or more ad targeting deywords is a negative	Claim 69: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein at least one	induced.	presentation of the advertisement to a user is	advertisement is served with the computer system,	or more ad targeting keywords, wherein when the	serving of the advertisement using the stored one	controlling, with the comprise system, a

PAGE 73/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

which each of the plurality of categories is provided as a

looking up one or more keywords uses a stored index in

The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the act of

lookup key to one or more keywords associated with each

advertisement is a qualified keyword. stored as one or more ad targeting keywords of the Claim 73: further includes unqualified for use as an ad targeting keyword of the advertisement, performing qualification testing of the keywords, determining if a keyword is qualified or The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the method

wherein each of the at least some of the keywords

using the keyword as an ad targeting keyword, wherein the performance of a set of one or more advertisements served performing qualification testing of the keyword tracks a Claim 74: The apparatus of claim 73 wherein the act of advertisement. set of one or more advertisements includes the

performance of the set of one or more advertisements is Claim 75: tracked in general, across all categories. The apparatus of claim 74 wherein the

performance of the set of one or more advertisements is C_aim 76: The apparatus of claim 74 wherein the

tracked across one or more specific categories.

more specific categories include the category accepted The apparatus of claim 76 wherein the cas or

The apparatus of claim 74 wherein the set of one

targeting keyword during the act of performing or more advertisements served using the keyword as 25 ac

PAGE 74/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

(n 🗠

N

further includes

w

qualification testing of the keywords, is only served on servaleble ad spoto that otherwise would be inused by any each ads.

Claim 79: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the act of storing the keywords as candidate targeting keywords of advertisement stores the determined one more keywords in order determined using inused inventory information about

Claim 79: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the act of storing the keywords as candidate targeting keywords of the advertisement stores the determined one more keywords in an order determined using unused inventory information about available ad spots that would otherwise be unused by any acts.

Claim 80: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the act of

Claim 80: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the act of storing the keywords as candidate targeting keywords of the advertisement stores the keywords in an order determined using unused inventory information such that a keyword corresponding to a larger number of ad spots that otherwise would be unused by other ads is provided before another keyword corresponding to a smaller number of ad spots that otherwise would be unused by other ads.

σ

Claim 81: The apparatus of claim 68 wherein the method further includes

5) populating serving constraints of an ad with the

candidate keywords.

Claim 82: The apparatus of claim 58 wherein the method

5) transmitting, with the computer system, the randicate keywords to induce presentation of the one or more keywords to an advertiser as ad targeting keyword suggestions,

PAGE 75/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

9

11 CL

۲۸

more ad targeting keywords Claim 83: as targeting keywords for an advertisement of the not to store at least some of the candidate keywords input in response to the suggested targeting advertiser using the received advertiser input. keywords, and receiving, with the computer system, advertiser determining, with the computer system, whether or

wherein the one or more serving constraints are one or The computer-implemented method of claim Ŋ

Claim 64: plurality of networked computers, ad information; a) accepting, with a conjuter system including a or more categories to an advertiser; and one of the one or more categories determined to more categories using the accepted ad information; induce presentation of the at least one of the one determining, with the computer system, one or receiving, with the computer system, advertiser transmitting, with the computer system, at least A computer-implemented method comprising:

data structure stored on the computer system. specifically associated with one or more keywords in a wherein each of the one or more categories is

calegories,

feedback with respect to the presented one or more

14 15

keywords in a data structure stored on the computer system wherein the category is specifically associated with the The computer-implemented method of claim

PAGE 76/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

and this specific association is used to lookup the ke ywords .

Claim 87: The computer-implemented method of claim 14

and this specific association is used to lookup the wherein the category is specifically associated with the in a data structure stored on the computer system

keywords.

Claim 88: The computer-implemented method of claim 27

wherein the category is specifically associated with the

and this specific association is used to lockup the keywords in a data structure stored on the computer system

PAGE 77/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

the appeal.

BYIDENCE APPENDIX PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 (c) (1) (ix)

There is no evidence submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. \$5 1.130, 1.151, or 1.132, nor is there any other evidence entered by the Examiner and relied upon by the appellant in

PAGE 78/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 (c) (1) (x)

There are no decisions rendered by a court of the Board in any proceeding identified in section II of the

Appeal Brief pursuant to 37 C.F.R. \$ 41.37 (c) (1) [11].

PAGE 79/79 * RCVD AT 1/10/2011 6:01:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:17329361401 * DURATION (mm-ss):08-18